First Regular Session Seventy-second General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 19-0422.02 Jerry Barry x4341

SENATE BILL 19-030

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Gonzales,

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

Senate Committees

House Committees

Judiciary Appropriations

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING A REMEDY FOR IMPROPERLY ENTERED GUILTY PLEAS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill finds that some criminal defendants who, when they entered a guilty plea in connection with a deferred judgment, were not advised that there may be additional penalties that attach to the plea even if the plea is later withdrawn and the case is dismissed. These defendants did not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily enter the plea of guilty as required by law. The bill authorizes these persons to petition the court for an order vacating the guilty plea.

l	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
2	SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 18-1-110.5 as
3	<u>follows:</u>
4	18-1-410.5. Relief from improperly entered guilty pleas -
5	legislative declaration. (1) The General assembly finds that:
6	(a) A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT CANNOT CHALLENGE AN
7	UNCONSTITUTIONAL GUILTY PLEA WHEN THAT PLEA HAS BEEN
8	WITHDRAWN AND THE UNDERLYING CHARGES DISMISSED FOLLOWING THE
9	SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF A DEFERRED JUDGMENT;
10	(b) BASED ON THE STATUTORY LANGUAGE OF SECTION 18-1.3-102,
11	TOGETHER WITH THE WRITTEN DEFERRED JUDGMENT AGREEMENT AND
12	COURT COLLOQUY THAT ACCOMPANIES SUCH AGREEMENTS, MANY
13	NONCITIZEN DEFENDANTS DID NOT UNDERSTAND THAT THE GUILTY PLEA
14	WOULD CONTINUE TO CONSTITUTE A CONVICTION FOR IMMIGRATION
15	PURPOSES AND RESULT IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES,
16	DESPITE THE SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THE GUILTY PLEA AND
17	DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES UPON SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE
18	DEFERRED JUDGMENT; AND
19	(c) In the absence of an appropriate mechanism, many
20	NONCITIZEN DEFENDANTS HAVE BEEN UNFAIRLY DEPRIVED OF THE
21	OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE GUILTY PLEAS THAT WERE ENTERED IN
22	<u>VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF</u>
23	THIS STATE THAT RESULTED IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES.
24	(2) At any time following the withdrawal of the guilty
25	PLEA AND DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES UPON SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF
26	A DEFERRED JUDGMENT, A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT MAY CHALLENGE THE

-2- 030

I	GUILTY PLEA ON THE GROUNDS SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS
2	SECTION. THE COURT IN WHICH THE GUILTY PLEA WAS ORIGINALLY
3	ENTERED HAS JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY TO DECIDE THE MOTION.
4	(3) A DEFENDANT MOVING TO VACATE A GUILTY PLEA THAT HAS
5	ALREADY BEEN WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION
6	OF A DEFERRED JUDGMENT MUST, IN GOOD FAITH, ALLEGE THE
7	FOLLOWING:
8	(a) As a result of the guilty plea, the defendant has
9	SUFFERED, IS CURRENTLY SUFFERING, OR WILL SUFFER, AN ADVERSE
10	IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCE; AND
11	(b) The guilty plea was obtained in violation of the
12	CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF THIS STATE UNDER
13	ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:
14	(I) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT INFORMED THAT THE GUILTY PLEA
15	WOULD CONTINUE TO RESULT IN ADVERSE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES
16	DESPITE THE SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THE GUILTY PLEA AND
17	DISMISSAL OF THE CHARGES WITH PREJUDICE;
18	(II) THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT ADEQUATELY ADVISED OF THE
19	IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES OF THE GUILTY PLEA; OR
20	(III) THE GUILTY PLEA WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM FOR ANY
21	OTHER REASON SET FORTH IN SECTION 18-1-410 (1).
22	(4) (a) Upon receipt of the motion, the court shall direct
23	THE PROSECUTION TO RESPOND WITHIN TWENTY-ONE DAYS OR REQUEST
24	ADDITIONAL TIME FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN. IF A RESPONSE IS NOT FILED,
25	THE MOTION IS DEEMED UNOPPOSED, AND THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE
26	MOTION. IF THE PROSECUTION OPPOSES THE MOTION, IT SHALL ALLEGE, IN
27	GOOD FAITH, THE FACTS UPON WHICH IT BASES ITS OPPOSITION. IF THE

-3-

I	RESPONSE RAISES AN ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT, THE COURT SHALL SET THE
2	MATTER FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.
3	(b) Unless the prosecution proves by a preponderance of
4	THE EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL NOT SUFFER AN IMMIGRATION
5	CONSEQUENCE OR THAT THE GUILTY PLEA WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY
6	ENTERED, THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE MOTION.
7	(c) FOR CLAIMS RAISED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(b)(I) OF
8	THIS SECTION, THE PROSECUTION CAN NEITHER RAISE AN ISSUE OF
9	MATERIAL FACT TO OBTAIN AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING NOR DEFEAT A
10	CLAIM AT THE HEARING BY RELYING ON WRITTEN DOCUMENTS, SUCH AS A
11	DEFERRED JUDGMENT AGREEMENT, PLEA PAPERWORK, OR TRANSCRIPT OF
12	A COURT COLLOQUY, UNLESS THOSE DOCUMENTS CLEARLY SHOW THAT
13	THE DEFENDANT WAS INFORMED THAT THE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES
14	RESULTING FROM A GUILTY PLEA WOULD REMAIN DESPITE THE
15	SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF THAT GUILTY PLEA AND THE DISMISSAL OF
16	THE CHARGES WITH PREJUDICE.
17	(5) IF THE DEFENDANT SUCCEEDS IN CHALLENGING A GUILTY PLEA
18	UNDER SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, THE COURT SHALL VACATE THE
19	GUILTY PLEA AS CONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM. THE ORDER CONSTITUTES AN
20	ADDITIONAL INDEPENDENT BASIS FOR THE VACATUR OF THE GUILTY PLEA
21	AND DOES NOT RESULT IN THE REINSTATEMENT OF CHARGES.
22	SECTION 2. Applicability. This act applies to charges dismissed
23	before, on, or after the effective date of this act.
24	SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
25	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
26	preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

-4- 030